

University of North Carolina at Greensboro

Academic Computing Committee

Minutes

10am-11:15am, Friday March 20, 2015

HHP 400B

Present:

- Aprille Black - Bryan Business School, Liaison to ATCC (via Google Hangouts)
- Fatih Oguz - School of Education, Liaison to Administrative Information Security Committee
- Frances Clerk - School of Health and Human Sciences, Liaison to IOC, (Chair) – minute taker
- John Neufeld - Faculty Senate Liaison
- Kenneth White - School of Music, Theater, and Dance
- Lois Von Cannon - School of Nursing
- Todd Sutton - Information Technology Services, ex-officio and non-voting
- Michelle Soler - Teaching and Learning Commons. ex-officio and non-voting
- Bo Bodenhamer - Office of Provost, ex-officio and non-voting

Not present

- Erin Lawrimore - University Libraries
- Lixin Fu - College of Arts and Science
- Leslie Locklear - minute taker

Agenda Items

1. Determine times of next meetings: Friday 10am, April 17, and Friday April 24 10am Forney 205.
2. Report from committees: No reports – All committee meetings were cancelled.
3. Look at email from Todd about issue sent from Spoma.
Donna Nash and Wade Maki were concerned about data being lost when student drop courses and also Professors being “in the dark” about these students. The professors are often asked about when a student last completed work for a course and this is a difficult question for them to answer. With the current Course Management Systems that we use at UNCG, it is not possible to mark a student as inactive as it is with some LMSs. Ken White said in an idea world this would be a nice feature. Several others mention that this feature does exist in other systems. Unfortunately we do not have this option. **Todd reported that ITS said a professor could retrieve information for two years on about any student by simply submitting a Ticket to 6-TECH.**
There was discussion about long the University is legally responsible to maintain these records. It seems 1 year is the legal requirement thus ITS is exceeding that. Though some units may have different policies.
4. The committee asked that Filip Saidik submit concrete details about Google and other companies of concern. That the committee needed more concrete details to work with.
5. Committee charge – Ken White brought up the issue that his faculty were concerned with the wording of the new charge as it represented a devolution of power of the ACC. Frances mentioned that she had spoke to Spoma about this working and Spoma said that all the committees could even really do is “ recommend “ changes and that these could ultimately

be voted on by the faculty senate. John talked about the history of the ACC committee.

Lois wondered if someone without IT experience was the right person to be on the committee. Michelle replied that she thought that the voices of technical and non-technical people should be on the committee.

6. We discussed how many more meeting we would have this year – two or one and.

Discussion around what business needed to be done:

- Software training in labs that John Neufeld brought up ealier in last semester.
- New chair for next year
- Filip Saidik issue
- New issue of encryption of personal hardrives using Filevault etc.

..